

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	JING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/726,824	12/03/2003		George Zabrecky	11352-004-999	5525
20583	7590	07/18/2005		EXAM	INER
JONES DA 222 EAST 4				TATE, CHRISTO	OPHER ROBIN
NEW YORK, NY 10017				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			1655	

DATE MAILED: 07/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/726,824	ZABRECKY, GEORGE					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Christopher R. Tate	1655					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT , cause the application to become AB/	rply be timely filed r (30) days will be considered timely. I'HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are pending in the application							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45-51</u> is/are allowed.	 ✓ Claim(s) <u>45-51</u> is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-15,17-34,36-41,43,44 and 52-56</u> is/are rejected. ✓ Claim(s) <u>9,16,35 and 42</u> is/are objected to. 						
6) Claim(s) 1-8,10-15,17-34,36-41,43,44 and 52-							
, , ,							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	·					
0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Ap	oplication No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been	received in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea	•						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not i	received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0504</u> .							

Application/Control Number: 10/726,824

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-56 are presented for examination on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8, 10-15, 17-34, 36-41, 43, 44, and 52-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted state of the art.

A first or second composition (or kit) for treating chronic liver disease, chronic HCV infection or non-alcoholic steatohepatitis comprising (within the first composition) glycyrrhizin (from licorice), schisandra extract, ascorbic acid (vitamin C), L-glutathione, silymarin (from milk thistle), lipoic acid, and d-alpha-tocopherol (vitamin E); or comprising (within the second composition) glycyrrhizin (from licorice), ascorbic acid (vitamin C), L-glutathione, and vitamin B-complex is claimed, as is a method of treating chronic liver disease, chronic HCV infection or non-alcoholic steatohepatitis via administering such compositions (via various routes) to a subject.

Art Unit: 1655

As readily admitted by Applicant, each of the instantly claimed ingredients (antioxidants) is well known in the prior art to be useful for effectively treating viral hepatitis (e.g., chronic HCV), and/or improving hepatic function, in a subject in need thereof - including via administration through various conventional routes such as orally and/or intravenously (parentally). Further, with respect to vitamin C and glutathione interactions, Applicant also readily admits that these components are well known in the art to beneficially effect each other *in vivo* in terms of improving hepatotoxicity (see, e.g., paragraphs [0024]-[0048]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to combine various combinations of the instantly claimed ingredients (e.g., those that make up the first claimed composition/kit, as well as those that make up the second claimed composition/kit) for their known benefit since each is well known in the art for the same purpose (i.e., treating hepatitis/improving hepatic function) and for the following reasons. This rejection is based on the well established proposition of patent law that no invention resides in combining old ingredients of known properties where the results obtained thereby are no more than the additive effect of the ingredients, In re Sussman, 1943 C.D. 518; In re Pinten, 459 F.2d 1053, 173 USPQ 801 (CCPA 1972); In re Susi, 58 CCPA 1074, 1079-80, 440 F.2d 442, 445; 169 USPQ 423, 426 (1971); In re Crockett, 47 CCPA 1018, 1020-21; 279 F.2d 274, 276-277; 126 USPQ 186, 188 (1960). Applicant's invention is predicated on an unexpected result, an unpredictable phenomenon highly dependent upon specific proportions and/or amounts of particular ingredients. Any mixture of the components embraced by the claims which does not exhibit an unexpected result is therefore *ipso facto* unpatentable.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,824

Art Unit: 1655

Accordingly, the instant claims, in the range of proportions where no unexpected results are observed, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill having the references that make up the admitted state of the art as taught within the instant specification (as discussed above) set forth before him/her. The result-effective adjustment of particular conventional working conditions (e.g., using oral, parental, and/or syringe infusion administration forms - as well as forming kits thereof, and/or determining an appropriate amount of at least one of the herbal ingredients therein) is deemed merely a matter of judicious selection and routine optimization which is well within the purview of the skilled artisan.

From the admitted teachings concerning the state of the art, it is apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention. Therefore, the invention as a whole was prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by the admitted state of the art, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Applicant has reasonably disclosed/demonstrated that a composition (as well as appropriately defined kit claims) comprising particular amount ranges of the instantly claimed combination of 7 ingredients that make up the first recited composition (see, e.g., claims 9, 35, 45), and a composition (as well as appropriately defined kit claims) comprising particular amount ranges of the instantly claimed combination of 4 ingredients that make up the second recited composition (see, e.g., claims 16, 42, 45), are improved therapeutic formulations (over the art) with respect to treating the instantly claimed hepatic disorders/diseases.

Accordingly, claims 9, 16, 35, 42, and 45 are deemed free of the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,824

Art Unit: 1655

Claim Objections

Claims 9, 16, 35, and 42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Claims 45-51 are allowed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R. Tate whose telephone number is (571) 272-0970. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur, 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,824 Page 6

Art Unit: 1655

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher R. Tate Primary Examiner Art Unit 1655